

FIGHT IT WITH . . .

Common Sense

LEADER IN THE NATION'S FIGHT AGAINST COMMUNISM

® Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

Issue No. 469, March 15, 1966

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, N.J.

"The truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth ... without fear or favor"

Subscription \$3.00 per year

Treason! Treason! Treason

UN BUILT SOVIET EMPIRE KEEPS AMERICAN BLOOD FLOWING IN SENSELESS WARS ABROAD AND RACIAL STRIFE AT HOME

OPEN LETTER TO GOVERNORS AND MEMBERS OF ALL LEGISLATURES . . .

GENTLEMEN:

Those of you who have taken an interest in the subject discussed in these Open Letters - and we fear your number is pathetically small — are in a position now to see the bitter fruits of the Roosevelt-Johnson "peaceful and profound" revolution. This revolution, in its immediate effect, was no different from any other revolution. It brought a change in the form of government.

The change in the form of government also was "peaceful and profound." It was gradual and silent. The people were bemused with modern bread and circuses - autos, TVs, split-levels and foreign travel - all "on the cuff" mortgaged to the hilt. They had no time for affairs of state, no interest in politics or leadership so long as the good things of life were obtainable on whatever terms. 'All history records that this type of irresponsibility "sows the wind" - and inevitably "reaps the whirl-wind." The harvest day now approaches.


The new government of the Roosevelt-Johnson revolution required new laws. These laws could not be enacted by the "peaceful and profound" to say nothing of the "secret" statutes required for the purposes and methods of the revolutionaries. Congress was just not yet prepared to accept this situation. Nor were the revolutionaries prepared to alarm the 435 member "Lower House" by advancing such proposals.

The 96 member "Upper House" presented less than one-fourth the problem. A trap was laid by the United States Revolutionary Government at Pearl Harbor. The fleet was sunk and thousands of young Americans died ingloriously and ignominiously, as the revolutionary traitors who had taken over their government and arranged the fate of Pearl Harbor brazenly lied about these betrayed Americans, then plunged the nation into a World War to cover up their crimes - and their criminal intent.

The criminal intent was to turn over the government they had usurped by "peaceful and profound" revolution to the Soviet World Empire. The first step was the establishment of SEAT OF EMPIRE in the United

The 96 member "Upper House" now had a part to play in the consolidation of "the revolution." The Constitution of the new Soviet World Government was drawn up by member agents of the World Revolutionary Movement and submitted to the Upper House as a Treaty.

Not more than a dozen men in the U. S. Senate had any idea what the "Treaty" was all about. Two of those who did know voted against it, five who realized they did not know enough about it to act upon it refrained from voting, and eighty-nine - the overwhelming majority of whom did not know - and most of whom, by common report in Washington, were not in condition to care — voted for the Constitution for Soviet U.S.A. UNOFFICIAL PRESIDENT SPEAKS evidence crowds upon the national conscious-



ARTHUR J. GOLDBERG

"My view is that America is not fighting to win a war. We are fighting to give application to an old Greek proverb which is that the purpose of war is not to annihilate an enemy but to get him to mend his ways."

Arthur J. Goldberg in an address at London, March 3rd, as reported in the N.Y. Times on March 5, 1966.

Now it is official! We are not fighting to win in Vietnam, but our sons are being sacrificed in the hope that we can mend the ways of an enemy that has threatened to bury us in a nuclear holocaust!

World Government. By ratifying this fraudulent document as a Treaty, these incompetent and irresponsible men delivered up the nation to the Revolutionary Empire of the So-

It was very convenient, over the years, for those who became informed to say, could not help it - they did not know." That excuse is as good today as it was then. The "Senate" knows even less today than it did then, and could not possibly care less. If you think this is harsh judgment, just take a good hard look at the government in Washington and the plain and unchallenged rule of the United Nations. The complete world domination of the United Nations is in the open now. With Congress out of the picture. the cover is removed, and Mr. Arthur Goldberg makes the decisions for the formerly "sovereign nation" known as the United States.

Need we repeat — the sole purpose of the United Nations was from the beginning the building of World Empire? That the CREATORS of the United Nations cared absolutely nothing about "peace and security," that these words were bait to entice an irresponsible People into a Soviet World Empire?

The facts are fairly well established. The

ness daily.

The Constitution (Charter) of the U. N. World Government was devoted almost exclusively to the doctrines of "racial equality" and "economic equality." To the CREATORS of the U. N. "racial equality" meant the complete integration of the Black and White races world wide. It is quite evident that the yellow, brown and red races do not figure in the scheme.

The "economic equality" part of the scheme calls for "redistribution of the wealth" world-wide. Every part of the world is to be put into full production. Furthermore, ALL PEOPLE (except the Ruling Elite) are to be put into full production. This, they hold, is absolutely necessary for the attainment of "international peace and security." There is "peace and security" throughout the Soviet World Empire — Russia, China, Poland, Hungary, Cuba. The U. N. masterminds have PROVEN their point. "International peace and security" is a proven fact under the Soviet system. ALL MEN and WOMEN are employed and are kept too busy to endanger "international peace and security."

We can never say they did not warn us. They wrote it all into the VERY SHORT Constitution (Charter) of the Soviet World Government. If we did not read it, that was OUR OWN most grievous FAULT. At this late date we might take note of HOW they told us. In the PREAMBLE of the U. N. Constitution it is stated that the U. N. is authorized (BY WHATEVER MEANS THEY CHOSE TO EMPLOY) to INSURE (make sure) that conditions BE established

"to employ international machinery (of whatever nature-ed.) for the promotion of the economic and social advancement of ALL PEOPLE."

On the surface this does not sound bad. It is only when we look for the "profound" implications of this statement of intent that we "smell a rat."

In Article 55 they state it a little more succinctly, -

"The United Nations SHALL PROMOTE (by any means or methods-ed.) higher standards of living, FULL EMPLOY-MENT, and conditions of economic and social progress AND DEVELOPMENT."

World wide, U. N. propaganda teems with the means and the benefits of putting everybody in the world, including all the women, to work at full pay, fringe benefits and all the rest of the pie-in-the-sky blessings of the world-wide Great Society.

If this was not your understanding of the authority conferred upon the U.N. by YOUR. REPRESENTATIVES in the U.S. Senate, then it is up to YOU to find out for sure whether these addicts to the kingly luxury of Washington know yet what is going on and who is responsible. And there never was a better time to face the facts than right now when the sons of your states are engaged in

Continued on Page 2

U. N. TREASON

* communism is treasons

Continued from page 1

TWO United Nations wars—and PROMISED for a third and most dangerous adventure in Africa.

We get back to the proposition that the U. N. is a government of all the world. The Council on Foreign Relations runs the U. N. Arthur Goldberg (as was Adlai Stevenson), is a Member of the Council on Foreign Relations. The World Government (pending the time they can get YOUR Senate to ratify an International Law) functions through Treaties and Resolutions of the General Assembly. A duly ratified G. A. Resolution becomes LAW of the WORLD and the Chief Executives of the Member States MUST ENFORCE THOSE LAWS.

"WE" are firmly bound by our "solemn commitment" under Article 25 —

"Member Nations AGREE to ACCEPT and CARRY OUT the decisions of the Security Council . . . (Security Council authority later . . . transferred to the General Assembly.)"

Regardless of what you may think about this - or what your Senators and Congressmen may tell you, the record is crystal clear that the whole Washington Government regards Article 25 as amended by the "Uniting for Peace" Resolution as the SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND. They told us in enacting the U. N. Participation Act where they stood BETWEEN the Constitution and the U. N. Charter when they wrote into that Act the proclamation that "Notwithstanding the provisions of ANY OTHER LAW" the un-Constitutional powers AND OBLIGA-TIONS listed therein should accrue to the President of the (Member State) United States.

You law makers who understand Law should obtain a copy of the U.N. Participation Act as amended — find yourselves a nice quiet corner, and STUDY IT. Just see what was left of the Constitution when YOUR representatives and OURS, enacted this monstrous legislation. And ask yourselves whether you really represent anything or anybody under this law.

During the half-baked "debate" on this 'Act, it was stated over and over — and actually written into the Act — the provision that "OUR" Ambassador who is NOT ELECTED, nor answerable to Congress, would get his instructions from the President (of the Member State). And, having read and/or studied this proposition, you see little reason to question it.

United Nations Participation Act as amended October 9, 1949:

Section 2. (a) The President by and with the advice of the Senate shall appoint a representative and a deputy representative of the United States to the United Nations, both of whom shall have the rank and status of envoy extraordinary and ambassador plenipotentiary . . . and shall perform such functions in connection with the United Nations as the President may from time to time direct. Section 3. The representatives provided for in Section 2 hereof, when representing the United States in the respective organs and agencies of the United Nations shall at all times act in accordance with the instructions of the President. .

Perhaps you had better read Sections 2 and 3 again — then consider the OATH OF OF-FICE taken by "OUR" Ambassador,

OATH OF OFFICE — Senate Document 87, pg. 224.:

"I solemnly swear (undertake, affirm, promise) to exercise in all loyalty, discretion and conscience the functions entrusted to me as an international civil servant of the United Nations, to discharge these functions and regulate my conduct with the interests of the United Nations only in view, and not to seek or accept instructions in regard to the performance of my duties from any government or other authority external to the Organization."

"There is no substitute for victory."



GENERAL DOUGLAS MacARTHUR

"I could have won the war in Korea in a maximum of ten days, with considerably fewer casualties . . ."

The UN prevented victory in Korea; it will do so again in Vietnam.

From the words of the Participation Act and those prescribed for the Oath of Office, you can get a fair estimate of the significance of an Act of Congress and of the integrity of the U. N., its CREATORS and its associates.

The idea in U. N. is that if Congress is going to INSIST upon the Ambassador taking instructions from the President, let Congress write it into the law. It won't mean a thing. No U. S. law is recognized in the United Nations. The U. N. prescribes the form of the Oath of Office taken by the Ambassadors and that Oath nullifies the Act of Congress.

"Our" Ambassador has SWORN to put the interests of this International Authority above the interests of the United States and to ACCEPT NO INSTRUCTIONS from the President or anybody else outside the United Nations. Hence he DOES NOT REPRE-SENT ANYBODY except the Soviet Revolutionary World Government.

But when he speaks he COMMITS the UNITED STATES to ACCEPT and CARRY OUT his orders. He CAN, and HE DOES, speak authoritatively when he tells the world WHAT THE UNITED STATES WILL DO, and as you will see, the United States Government will do exactly what he, Arthur Goldberg, says they will do, and he is telling the world now that the U. S. will give full support to a war in Africa.

You can watch this project work at first hand. The General Assembly has adopted some resolutions commanding Britain to reassert (to take back) sovereignty over her FORMER Colony, Southern Rhodesia. And this means Britain MUST DO THIS, no matter HOW she gets it done. Britain was most reluctant but when the U. N. talked "sanctions" Britain surrendered. She TRIED to persuade Rhodesia to return to British rule, but Rhodesia refused. Britain made it clear to the world - and particularly to the British People - that military force would not be used in Rhodesia. The British Government, shaky at best, KNEW the English People WOULD NOT STAND FOR WAR with a former colony. And the Government knew also, that war with Rhodesia would MOST LIKELY bring in South Africa and Portugal, both of whom are under U. N. sanctions - and all (3) for the same reason. They REFUSE to turn their SOVER-EIGN and SOLVENT African Governments over to the half-civilized (or even less) black men. This, you see, is what the whole World Revolution is about. The World Government of the U. N. INSISTS upon BLACK RULE EVERYWHERE.

Nobody with enough sense to pound sand believes that the master minds of the U. N. intend to permit the Black Race to govern THEIR empire or to control THEIR possessions. But this is the LINE that is being peddled to Congress, the Universities, schools, churches, labor unions and other soft spots

in the "affluent Society." These poor dupes actually believe that the billionaires of the Soviet World Empire are going to permit the Negroes of the World to assume power over THEIR world empire. These trusting souls claim the billionaires have developed conscience trouble, and they want to give it all back.

Actually, the plan is to use the Negro race world-wide; to finance and direct the Negro revolution against White rule wherever it exists. Encourage the Negro to SUBDUE — by any means available — the White man. Then the World Empire builders will take over from the helpless Negroes. If you doubt it, watch the Council on Foreign Relations and ITS U. N. at work.

Britain has been ORDERED by the General Assembly to subdue Rhodesia. Is this ANY OF "OUR" BUSINESS? You bet it is. "Our" Ambassador, Goldberg, speaking FOR THE UNITED STATES and without any advice or consent of Congress, has PLEDGED BRITAIN "WE" WILL SUPPORT HER to the LIMIT. He knows that when Britain uses military force against Rhodesia she is asking for war with South Africa and Portugal. HE KNOWS that if this develops the United States will become partners with Britain in that war on NO OTHER AUTHORITY than the order of the General Assembly — and the

WORD OF ARTHUR GOLDBERG

If you have any ideas of Constitutional law and the PROTECTION guaranteed the People in the States under the Constitution, you are going to say to yourself, "This cannot be. No man can commit the U.S. Armed Forces to war; the sole power lies with Congress." The obvious and unquestionable answer to that is, "The adoption of the U.N. Charter (Constitution for World Government) changed this. The Charter plainly provides that the Security Council shall have full authority to decide WHEN and WHERE a war shall be fought, and WHO SHALL FIGHT IT. And "WE" are bound, inextricably, to ACCEPT AND CARRY OUT the decisions of the Security Council AND the General As-

And in ACCEPTING and CARRYING OUT the decisions of the Security Council and the General Assembly, "OUR" Ambassador to the U. N. is authorized UNDER HIS OATH OF OFFICE to act in the interest of the United Nations ONLY. There is the business about the "President" of the Member State "instructing" the "Ambassador." Perhaps he does — and IF he does, you may be sure the "President" of the Member State (formerly U. S. A.) will "instruct" in the "interest of the United Nations." If he does not, and this would be MOST UNLIKELY, the "Ambassador" would be bound BY HIS OATH to ignore the "President's" instructions and vote for U.N. interests.

THIS IS THE NEW DEMOCRACY!

Goldberg SPEAKS FOR CONGRESS — he KNOWS what Congress will vote for. Maybe Congress does not know but "Ambassador" Goldberg DOES. He told the General Assembly on November 13, 1965, that "Rhodesians no longer have any diplomatic status in the U. S.; that the government is putting an embargo on shipments to Rhodesia and is CANCELLING THE SUGAR QUOTA OF RHODESIA."

Congress decides the matter of "sugar quota." But Mr. Goldberg KNOWS what Congress will "decide." And if Britain goes to war, Mr. Goldberg has already promised that "WE" will go with her.


This is the mess (the 17th Amendment), Continued on Page 4



U.N. CONTROL OVER U.S. POLICY . .

'AND CONTINUE TO Bring Our Sons Home In Boxes'

+ WW I + WW II + Korea + Congo + Dominican Republic + + Vietnam + Where Next?



"Treason against the United States, shall consist only in levying war against them, or in adhering to their enemies, giving aid and comfort." - Article III, Section 3, Constitution of the United States.

Republished from Freedom's Voice, Kenosha, Wis.

The young men we are losing are precious to us - our sons and brothers, our husbands and the fathers of our children. To make the supreme sacrifice in wars that we are not permitted to win and where the "negotiations" by politicians result in ever greater expansion of Communist slavery—this is too great a sacrifice to ask of America's youth and their sorrowing loved ones.

General MacArthur spoke of the shame of visiting the destruction of war not upon the aggressor but on the unfortunate victims of Communist attack. And of the shame of this nation withholding its military might from erushing the enemy's war-making potential in order to shorten the conflict and thus saving the lives of thousands of America's fight-

In Vietnam, this administration, as that of its Democratic predecessor in Korea, refuses to use even the non-nuclear military tools and diplomatic pressures available to shorten the war, thus reducing the number of American casualties, and assuring victory over the Communists. General John P. Mc-Connell, present Air Force chief of staff, said we have the military capability of destroying North Vietnam and forcing its surrender "virtually overnight."

Our resources are squandered by sending our planes to bomb meaningless targets in North Vietnam. As stated by General Curtis E. LeMay, recently retired Air Force chief of staff, we are merely "pecking around the edges" with our bombings. Sorties against insensitive targets are exhausting our fighting centers and result in needless casualties. Blows that would really hurt the enemy are withheld on Presidential order. Failure to act with an effective blockade has resulted in the delivery of missiles and other weapons of war that will result in heavier U.S. casualshould air strikes finally be called against truly important North Vietnam targets. Although North Vietnam Communist regiments have invaded South Vietnam, the anti-Communist forces are not permitted to carry the war by a similar invasion of North Vietnam. All of the destruction of property and lives is once more suffered by the poor victims of Communist aggression.

The President begs our enemy to start negotiations - without imposing conditions. Peace is usually sought by a defeated enemy who submits to the terms of the victor. It appears the President is only looking for a way out of the war in such a manner that will encourage a public acceptance of the incredible disarmament plan his negotiators are

working on at Geneva. The plan is now out-fare weapon of charging that this is a race lined in official U.S. government publications and calls for the total disarmament of the United States and the establishment of an all powerful United Nations "peace force." The American people are to be brainwashed to accept the idea that only such a radical action will guarantee the world a true peace. There are sufficient signs and statements that point to a sellout of the people of Vietnam just as other Democrat Presidents sold out the people of Eastern Europe, China, Cuba and Laos.

Our President and his top men have actually told us that victory over communism is not our goal — our boys are dying only to bring the enemy to a negotiation table — the same enemy who pledged in 1954 "to respect the sovereignty, the independence, the unity, and the territorial integrity" and "to refrain from interference in their internal affairs." The same enemy who is in South Vietnam and Laos in violation of the agreement he signed at another negotiation table in Geneva

If our President's purpose in Vietnam is only to negotiate for a coalition government, then our young men are dying in vain. The Communist enemy has repeatedly shown the world what it thinks of coalition agreements.

The history of the past fifty years shows that Communists only seek negotiations when there is an advantage to be gained thereby. They use negotiations either to disarm the forces of freedom or to obtain relaxation of almost unbearable pressures that threaten their destruction,

Negotiating with America's diplomats the Communists have taken over more lands and peoples than all the conquerors of history combined: over one-third of the Earth's peoples and one quarter of its land surfaces. All accomplished without the loss of a single Soviet air-squadron, regiment, or battleshipjust "negotiations." Of course, Communist aggression and its goal of world conquest is not brought up at the United Nations, that forum of fallen hopes, rendered impotent by the Soviet Union and an alliance of Asian and African nations, but still being pushed upon the American public as "man's only, best, and last hope for peace." In the U.N., only the sins of anti-Communist governments, as Rhodesia, are subject to condemnation and even bloody military action as in Katanga.

Why does our President continue to work for a repetition in South Vietnam of the tragedy of Korea? Must our loved ones continue to be sacrificed for the same empty reasons? We cannot match the Asian enemy man-to-man on the ground. We should not give the Communists the psychological warwar of "white imperialism." Americans must not be sent to fight an Asian war that could and should be fought by Asiatics. The war in Vietnam is an Asiatic conflict and the soldiers of freedom should be Asiatics. Over half a million trained troops of free China on Formosa are ready and willing to fight but are held back by America's politicians.

Our President and Secretary of State, Dean Rusk stated that there would be no privileged sanctuary in this war, such as that given the Communists beyond the Yalu river in the Korean war. However, as in Korea, our fighting men are once again not permitted to destroy ALL military targets but must take dictation from the White House and State Department who send our bombing missions on the least provocative targets that do kill our boys but have done practically nothing to impede the Communist build up in South Vietnam. The enemy's industrial complexes, power plants, and sea ports are free to supply his military machine. There is no bombing of the vast complex of dams and dikes on the Red and Black Rivers, upon which the very existence of North Vietnam depends. While our boys die in increasing numbers, the President refuses to permit the destruction of the most vital enemy installations that would force him to surrender. At this writing, we do not have even a blockade or a mining of the harbor of Haiphong through which the enemy receives much of his war supplies.

The only way to make the sacrifices of our fighting men meaningful is for Americans to rededicate themselves to the eternal struggle for freedom against all who would threaten it, and to mobilize all the resources of our country so as to achieve an early victory. If we are to fight, this must be done legally. There must be, as prescribed by our Constitution, a declaration of war by Congress. Then Congress and the people must see to it that this war will not be allowed to degenerate into another Dominican Republic episode, or a Cuba or Korea where after a brief show of spirit, our leaders allowed the Communists to achieve even more control over their victims than they had before the sacrifice of the lives of our GI's.

International communism is the enemy of our Nation and all the peoples of the world. We must fight it everywhere within our nation, at our doorstep in Cuba, as well as 10,000 miles away. The enemies of freedom must be destroyed by refusing to aid them in any way with food or supplies. The enemy's ability to wage war must not be protected. When war is forced upon us, we must not stop our men at some artificial border

(Please Turn to Page 4)

... why did they start the war if they did not intend to win it? . . . what do they they intend to do now?go on piling up our dead indefinitely with no fixed purpose

or end in sight? Hardened old soldier though I am—my very soul revolts at this unnecessary slaughter of the flower of our youth. . . . " — General Douglas MacArthur

U. N. TREASON

Continued from page 2

the Senate has gotten us into. "WE" will send our young men any place on earth to fight U. N. wars for any reason U. N. decides to fight the wars, and neither Congress nor the People will be consulted.

In addition to this, once our forces are committed their commanders, whoever they may be, will report ALL MILITARY AC-TIONS, undertaken OR IN CONTEMPLA-TION, to the Military Council of the U.N. and the head of the Military Council by agreement, will always be a "Soviet citizen."

The United Nations is MOST INSISTENT that Britain recognize an OBLIGATION to use military force against Rhodesia if nothing else works. The British Government KNOWS that the surest and quickest way to bring down the government is to try it. The United Nations wants war in South Africa with its burgeoning and unexplored riches. MORE THAN U.N. EVER WANTED ANY-THING before. Forcing Britain to fight that way and PAY FOR IT would be a BIG DEAL for the U. N.

But Britain wants off the hook. As this is written Britain is trying to persuade U. N. to do its own dirty work. And perhaps they will; most likely with "OUR" troops, which are being so generously offered by "our" Ambassador.

This is the way American lives and American treasure are thrust into world-wide empire building wars. This is the CONTINU-ING CONSEQUENCE of "our" "treaty" with the United Nations.

You may have doubted what we have been telling you. Many of you DO NOT CARE; most of you would "pass the buck" to the CONGRESS who got us into this mess, and who have bankrupted us, collectively and individually, in supporting its global conquests. There is no place to "pass the buck" now. The policy and program of the United Nations - and the disastrous consequences of the ACCEPTANCE of the whole infamous scheme, are apparent in the Rhodesian situation. Responsible public officials will watch it carefully, and prepare to justify the faith of the people as the Revolutionary Government of the United Nations moves in for the final assault.

The tragedy of this whole thing is that there are so many irresponsible people in the United States, and that so many of the most irresponsible are found in the business and professional communities where the unprecedented profits of the "cold war" have deadened all sense of civic or patriotic awareness. Nothing — absolutely nothing counts, except black ink this month. The general attitude is: Who wants to kill Santa Claus? Whoever is responsible for these good times is MY BOY. I'm for him. What do I care about public debt. Did you ever hear of The Gross National Product? The G. N. P. is the Golden Key to perpetual prosperity. The G. N. P., the Peace and Security boys should KNOW is based MORE THAN 60% on WAR, and the accoutrements of WAR. Peace would drop the G. N. P. to panic levels - UNLESS the SAVINGS of disarmament and a hoped-for "peace" can be spent to increase the G. N. P. by distributing the great production of America-world-wide and "for free." The new economist does not realize that when the war-based G. N. P. goes down through return to Peace, the debt remains the same.

The unrealistic economics of the Affluent Society, and the World Empire adventures of the Council on Foreign Relations have brought the governments of the world face to face with chaos. The whole thing is economic, and the solutions to World Empire problems are economic. They MUST HAVE some form of World Currency - AND SOON - otherwise they will have to resort to the age-old solution to unpayable debt __ WILD INFLATION—such as this same gang of international highbinders brought upon Germany and many other countries before that time.

The addition of the VERY RICH continent of Africa and the oil-rich territory of Southeast Asia to the Soviet World Empire will solve a lot of economic problems for the

VIETNAM --- WHERE NEXT?

Continued from Page 2

created by diplomats in a far-off country who possibly have never known the pain of battle or the loss of a son or a husband.

Once a war is joined, it must be won. The war in Vietnam must end in honor, independence and freedom for all of Vietnam. No enemy has ever been able to withstand the might and anger of an aroused America. We must replace the State Department surrender salesmen with our Joint Chiefs of Staff and give them the green light to proceed to an honorable victory. Full use must be made of all the power available, ground, sea, and air forces, as well as trade embargoes, blockades of Communist ports, and diplomatic weapons as closing of Red consular

If we are to battle, let's battle to win. If we are not, then let's stop the slaughter of our young men and bring them home from throughout the world and submit to international communism's ambition — the same in Peking as in Moscow — to control the world.

"In war, there is no substitute for victory," said General Douglas MacArthur. There can be no victory in any policy line permitting the enemy to choose the weapons and the battlefield.

Neither can a military victory be followed by a true peace if the people allow Socialists, pro-Communists, and one-world politicians to

World Empire. You need but watch what happens and hear how the Washington Government of the U. N. explains it. In the meantime, don't miss the remarks of "our" Ambassador as HE supports WAR IN RHO-DESIA and tells US what "WE" are going to do and to pay.

This "international civil servant" who has sworn to serve the interests of the United Nations ONLY is bound by Charter law, Article 2, "to give the United Nations EVERY AS-SISTANCE in any action it takes" and in carrying out this obligation HE COMMITS THE UNITED STATES TO ANY ACTION decided upon by the United Nations. It is not really a question whether Mr. Goldberg WANTS to plunge us into an African warhe HAS TO DO IT if the U.N. requires it.

The position of Britain as she attempts to meet her obligation under Article 25 to "AC-CEPT and CARRY OUT the decisions of the Security Council" and the General Assembly is clearly revealed and should jolt the responsible citizen of a "Member State." It has been obvious from the beginning that Britain DID NOT WANT to take back her former colony or to force that colony to adopt Negro rule, which is what this is all about. It does not matter WHAT Britain WANTS, she will do as she is told - precisely as the United States did — with much less publicity - when the "Congress" enacted a wholly un-Constitutional "Civil Rights Bill" to meet the DEMANDS of General Assembly Resolution No. 1904. As the U. N. Participation Act plainly says, these decisions will be carried out "Notwithstanding the provisions of ANY OTHER LAW."

The United Nations Is running the world and "communizing" it as they go along. And the Council on Foreign Relations owns controls the United Nations. And NEVER FORGET — the United States Government is ABSOLUTELY COMMITTED.

"To accept and carry out the decisions of the Security Council" and the General

Assembly. No matter what they are. And "we" are represented in this insane adventure by a man sworn to neither "SEEK nor ACCEPT" instructions from anybody in the United States. Congress, of course, THOUGHT they had prevented any such situation when they enacted the U. N. Participation Act. But for all the force or effect a law of Congress has now, the Congress may as well stay home and let the General Assembly run its "Member State" openly.

This, gentlemen, is the end result of the 17th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

> MARY DAVISON, Editor, COUNCIL FOR STATEHOOD, P.O. BOX 1131, North Miami, Florida, 33161

surrender at negotiation tables what our men won on the battlefield.

Today, more so than at any other period of our history, Americans must take an interest in studying and learning what political philosophy their leaders truly represent. Today, even more so than at Valley Forge, we must apply George Washington's words: "Put none but Americans on guard tonight."

Double-Dealing Allies Sell Out to the Enemy

By PAUL HARVEY

. . . My office received a telephone call from Florida's Congressman Paul Rogers. He was ready.

Representative Rogers had completed the necessary documentation so that none could deny what he was about to reveal. Surely, he and I agreed, this revelation would have a tremendous impact on the American people. They would probably demand a reassessment of our military position in Vietnam and certainly would demand immediate suspension of much foreign aid . . .

Minutes later on the floor of Congress. Mr. Rogers dropped his bomb. Nothing happened. No shock waves were felt in Waxahatchie or Kokomo or Tallahassee.

The house half of congress, unruffled by the revelation, casually took up its next order of business. And the handful of us left trembling with anger, looked on and wondered.

What was the announcement which might have electrified the electorate? The Honorable Mr. Rogers confirmed that our doubledealing friends were giving aid and comfort to our enemies.

He revealed that in the past six months 210 ships have landed supplies in the Red port of Hanoi in North Vietnam . . . They were ships flying the flags of our allies! They were ships from Japan and Greece, Norway and Lebanon, Italy and West Germany. And 41 per cent of them were British!

I remember Korea, where the British had been willing to sell jet engines to Red China for 30 pieces of silver - though those jet engines then became available to kill British

Surely, I thought, they and we would have learned better since then. They haven't.

Surely, though, the American people would now arise in righteous wrath and demand an immediate accounting. After all, had not the British prime minister assured our White House that his government supports our "firmer policy," our increased air strikes, in Vietnam.

Yet, while he "supports our policy," British mercenaries continue to prosper by doing business with the enemy!

It was the terrible silence which followed Representative Rogers' disclosure which distressed me most. Congress routinely resumed consideration of more "aid" for our two-faced friends.

And, we, the people, continued indirectly to send aid to North Vietnam and continued directly to send \$2 million a day to South Vietnam . . . And continue to bring our sons home in boxes.

Common Sense.

UNION, NEW JERSEY, U.S.A.

	U. S. CANADA	U. S. CANADA FOREIGN
	1ST CLASS	2ND CLASS
1 year	\$5.00	\$3.00
2 years	8.00	5.00
3 years	10.00	7.00
Lifetime	72 - 13	50.00
Foreign 1st Class	s - \$10.00	per year
BULK COPIES -	- SAME or ASS	ORTED

20 copies . 100 copies 1000 copies

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSN. 530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J. 07083